

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Non-tuberculous Mycobacteria Isolated from New Zealand Soil Environments**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the  
degree of**

**Masters of Philosophy**

**in**

**Microbiology**

**at Massey University, Albany,**

**New Zealand.**

**Kasey Shirvington-Kime**

**2006**

## Abstract

There is little information on the diversity of non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) in New Zealand. This project has shown that diverse mycobacteria can be isolated from forest, pastoral and urban environments through the combined use of specialised decontamination techniques and selective media. *Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare* complex (MAIC) was the most commonly isolated mycobacteria (40%) followed by *M. montefiorensense*/*M. triplex* (20%). This is the first known isolation of *M. montefiorensense*/*M. triplex* from soils in New Zealand. The greatest numbers of mycobacteria were isolated from peat-rich pastoral soils, followed by urban dust/organic matter and native forest soils. The majority of mycobacteria isolated were slow-growing. The greatest numbers of isolates that were unable to be speciated further than *Mycobacterium* species using 16S rDNA sequencing (i.e. likely to be new species) were isolated from native forest soils.

**Abstract .....ii**

**Table of Tables .....v**

**Table of Figures .....v**

**Chapter 1 Introduction .....1**

**Chapter 2 Literature Review .....3**

**2.1 The Genus *Mycobacterium*..... 3**

**2.2 Clinical Significance..... 6**

        2.2.1 Primary Infections..... 6

        2.2.2 Opportunistic Infections ..... 8

**2.3 The Ecology of *Mycobacteria* ..... 9**

**2.4 Isolation Media for *Mycobacteria* ..... 12**

        2.4.1 Lowenstein Jensen (LJ) Slopes..... 13

        2.4.2 Middlebrook 7H10 OADC Enrichment..... 14

        2.4.3 Modified Sauton's Medium (In-house) ..... 15

**2.5 Sample Decontamination..... 17**

**2.6 Identification of *Mycobacteria* ..... 18**

**2.7 Susceptibility of MAIC to Clarithromycin ..... 20**

**Chapter 3 Materials & Methods .....22**

**3.1 Media..... 22**

**3.2 Chemicals ..... 22**

**3.3 Sample Selection..... 24**

**3.4 Decontamination ..... 25**

**3.5 Colony Isolation ..... 25**

**3.6 Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing ..... 26**

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>3.7 DNA Extraction and the PCR.....</b>                      | <b>28</b> |
| <b>3.8 Molecular Reagents.....</b>                              | <b>30</b> |
| <b>3.9 Miscellaneous Equipment.....</b>                         | <b>31</b> |
| <b>3.10 Flowchart of Mycobacterial Isolation from Soil.....</b> | <b>32</b> |
| <b>Chapter 4 Results .....</b>                                  | <b>33</b> |
| <b>4.1 Mycobacteria Isolation.....</b>                          | <b>33</b> |
| 4.1.1 Discussion .....  | 40        |
| <b>4.2 Identification of Mycobacteria.....</b>                  | <b>42</b> |
| 4.2.1 Discussion .....  | 43        |
| <b>4.3 Antibiotic Susceptibility of Selected Isolates.....</b>  | <b>45</b> |
| 4.3.1 Discussion .....  | 47        |
| <b>Chapter 5 Final Discussion and Conclusions.....</b>          | <b>48</b> |
| <b>Chapter 6 References .....</b>                               | <b>51</b> |
| <b>Acknowledgements .....</b>                                   | <b>59</b> |
| <b>Appendices.....</b>  | <b>60</b> |
| Appendix 1 .....  | 60        |
| Appendix 2 .....  | 61        |
| Appendix 3 .....  | 65        |
| Appendix 4 .....  | 66        |
| Appendix 5 .....  | 70        |
| Appendix 6 .....  | 72        |
| Appendix 7 .....  | 74        |

Table of Tables

Table 1: Formulae of mycobacterial isolation media per litre ..... 16

Table 2: Comparison of media used for the isolation of non-tuberculous mycobacteria  
..... 17

Table 3: Description of the soil environments tested and level of human impact. .... 24

Table 4: Interpretative criteria for clarithromycin when testing *M. avium* complex .. 28

Table 5: Comparison of the total number of mycobacteria isolated per medium..... 33

Table 6: Number of *Mycobacterium* species isolated per medium..... 35

Table 7: Number of mycobacteria isolated per decontamination technique..... 38

Table 8: Total number of mycobacteria isolated per soil environment ..... 39

Table 9: 16S rDNA results of isolates most closely resembling *Mycobacterium*  
species. .... 43

Table 10 : Susceptibility of MAIC environmental isolates to clarithromycin using the  
Alamar Blue Assay. .... 46

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Phylogeny of 16S rRNA of characterised mycobacteria and related  
organisms. .... 4

Figure 2: Signature for slow-growing mycobacteria. .... 6

Figure 3: Procedure for isolating mycobacteria from soil. .... 32

Figure 4: Comparison of contaminants on different media. .... 35

Figure 5: An example of colonial variation. .... 36

Figure 6: *M. fortuitum* colony morphology on Middlebrook agar..... 36

Figure 7: *M. kansasii* colony morphology on Middlebrook agar. .... 37

Figure 8: *M. intracellulare* colony morphology on the in-house medium. .... 37

Figure 9: Incubation time in weeks for initial mycobacterial isolations..... 38

Figure 10: Species of mycobacteria isolated per soil environment. .... 39

## Chapter 1 Introduction

Worldwide, the incidence of environmental mycobacterial infections is increasing but there are limited data on the diversity of mycobacteria isolated from the environment. Often the studies available have focussed on a particular species associated with human disease rather than on overall diversity. Without a baseline knowledge of species diversity it is difficult to assess changes within the mycobacterial population and whether a previously uncommon isolate may now cause disease due to a change in habitat. Unlike tuberculosis, infection due to non-tuberculous mycobacteria is not a notifiable disease. Therefore, limited data on the number, type and epidemiology of non-tuberculous mycobacterial infections in New Zealand are available. It is believed that *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC) is the most common cause of non-tuberculous mycobacterial infections in New Zealand and Australia. It is a well known fact that the environment is the primary source of MAC infection (Falkingham 1996).

Exposure of MAC isolates to macrolides, antibiotics commonly used to treat MAC infections, has been identified as a major factor in the development of antimicrobial resistance (Stormer *et al.* 1989; Yago *et al.* 1995). Exposure to sub-inhibitory levels of these antibiotics found in the environment may also contribute to this resistance (Kummerer 2004). There are no local data available on the antimicrobial susceptibility of MAC isolates from the environment. Without available data it is difficult to assess if human impact (i.e., agriculture or urbanisation) has had any effect on the susceptibility of wild type MAC to macrolide antibiotics and if drug resistant MAC strains exist in the New Zealand environment.

The aim of this project was to develop improved techniques to isolate environmental mycobacteria and to compare the diversity of mycobacterial species from different New Zealand soil environments using genotypic methods for identification. The susceptibility of MAC isolates to macrolides was also assessed to investigate whether specific environments harbour isolates with increased resistance to macrolide antibiotics.

Knowledge of mycobacterial diversity and antimicrobial susceptibility may provide insights into the indigenous mycobacterial populations in New Zealand soil environments. Data on existing mycobacterial populations, their environmental niche and susceptibility to antibiotics can be used to enhance our ability to predict and prevent conditions that lead to infections. These data may be used to further understand the effect of human impact on the susceptibility and epidemiology of non-tuberculous mycobacteria.